820830

82-5952

24 March 1982

	; <del></del>	
MEMORANDUM FOR:	Executive Director	
FROM:	James N. Glerum Director of Personnel	
SUBJECT:	Hiring Constraints - Remainder FY 1982	
		•
Deputy Directors our ability to E of 19 March, we an estimated att 30 September 198 shows suggested case did an ADD the hiring limit against projected	March 1982, and I met with the four Associate s to discuss the ceiling constraints which will impact on a colon new employees throughout the remainder of FY 1982. As were under ceiling with scheduled EODs. Applying crition of we will have openings between now and a constraint priorities for each career service. Tab B recruitment priorities for each career service. In no believe his directorate could avoid major problems if cations stand. All have received heavy additional tasking additional ceiling in FY 1983. Individual directorate could at Tab C.	25X 25X 25X
device because to OMB and Congress ceiling. Even we the use of that	tions are limited. We can't use the short-term contract this would increase the projected FTE shortfall and attract sional focus on the fact that we were effectively over where a directorate (e.g., the DDA) projects an FTE surplus, surplus to hire on short-term contract would force one or er directorates to remain under ceiling. I have two	
ceiling inc overage in On a six-mo	ek Congressional relief against projected FY 1983 creases. One obvious justification is the "approved" S&T of ceiling positions for two special projects. Onth basis this would give us FTE manyears which, imarily for short-term contracts, could go a long	25x 25x
way toward	solving the	25X
smaller cat ceiling pos	communicators, career trainees and a few other tegories of employee. (Since we already have sitions to accommodate career trainees, their would have to be handled carefully.)	25x
		25 X

S-E-C-R-E-T

0-109

- b. Prioritize hiring on an Agency-wide basis regardless of individual career service available ceiling. I believe that clericals, communicators, operations officers and specialized analysts should be given the top priority with each career service retaining some ceiling to meet smaller, but still critical, requirements at its own discretion. The latter category would include, for example, the needs of OL, ODP and OS.
- 3. As an afterthought you will note that the directorate statements at Tab C assume continuation of all the special and add-on programs at the expense of more routine bread-and-butter or housekeeping activities. That assumption is consistent with our traditional "can-do" spirit. However, it also can result in less than satisfactory performance of important programs. I wonder if it might not be time to get dramatic and take the position that some of the new, high priority requirements cannot be met without the necessary resources.
  - 4. I suggest we sit and talk this one out.

James N. Glerum

Attachments

## Distribution:

Orig - Addressee

- 1 DD/R&P
- 1 D/Pers
- 1 D/Pers Chrono
- 1 ExDir Subject
- 1 DDA (w/o/att)
- 1 DDO (w/o/att)
- 1 DDI (w/o/att)
- 1 DDS&T(w/o/att)
- 1 Compt(w/o/att)
- 1 JNG Chrono

D/Pers/JNG1erum:rj (24 March 82)

